

3D Quantum Trajectories. Quantum orbits of the Hydrogen's electron

T. Djama*

April 1, 2004

Mail address: 14, rue Si El Houès, Béjaïa, Algeria

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the 3D-Quantum Stationary Hamilton Jacobi Equation for a central potential, and establish the 3D quantum law of motion of an electron in the presence of such a potential. We establish a system of three differential equations from which, and as a numerical application, we plot the 3D quantum trajectories of Hydrogen's electron. We show also the existence of nodes for these trajectories.

PACS: 03.65.Bz; 03.65.Ca

Key words: three dimension, quantum law of motion, atomic potential, quantum trajectories, nodes.

*Electronic address: djam_touf@yahoo.fr

1. Introduction

Three years ago, we presented with Bouda a deterministic approach of quantum mechanics by which we attempted to restore an objective reality of quantum phenomena. This approach consist on the introduction of a 1D quantum Lagrangian from which we derived the 1D quantum law of motion [1]. Then, we plotted the quantum trajectories for several one dimensional systems [2]. After, we generalized our approach to the relativistic systems [3], and obtained the relativistic quantum trajectories and established the existence of nodes also for such systems [4]. we also presented a study of the relativistic spinning particle using the deterministic approach that we developed in Refs. [1, 2, 3, 4]. Indeed, we gave, first, the relativistic quantum stationary Hamilton-Jacobi equation for a particle with a half (1/2) spin RQSHJES $_{\frac{1}{2}}$ [5], then, we established the Quantum law of motion of such a system [6].

Our deterministic quantum approach is interesting, however it was elaborated only for one dimensional systems. This fact was a real handicap for studying the nature since the most phenomena happens in real 3D space. For this reason, we presented in Ref. [7], a generalization of our approach to three dimensional systems. First, we set the expression

$$S_0(\vec{r}) = \hbar \arctan \left(a \frac{\theta(\vec{r})}{\phi(\vec{r})} + b \right) \quad (1)$$

of the quantum reduced action as a solution of the 3D-QSHJE

$$\frac{1}{2m_0} \left(\vec{\nabla} S_0(\vec{r}) \right)^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0} \frac{\Delta R(\vec{r})}{R(\vec{r})} + (E - V(\vec{r})) = 0 \quad , \quad (a)$$

$$\vec{\nabla} \cdot \left(R^2(\vec{r}) \vec{\nabla} S_0 \right) = 0 \quad . \quad (b) \quad (2)$$

The expression of the function $R(\vec{r})$ is given as

$$R(\vec{r}) = \sqrt{\frac{k}{\hbar a}} \left[(a\theta + b\phi)^2 + \phi^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad . \quad (3)$$

Note that θ and ϕ are two real independent solutions of the 3D Schrödinger equation

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0} \Delta \Psi + V(\vec{r}) \Psi = E \Psi. \quad (4)$$

a , b and k are real constants. After the establishment of the 3D quantum reduced action Eq. (1), we introduced a coordinates transformation with which the 3D-QSHJE (Eq. (2)) takes the form of the 3D Classical Hamilton-Jacobi equation (3D-CSHJE) [7] exactly as what Faraggi and Matone done for the 1D-QSHJE [8]. Then, we introduced the 3D quantum Lagrangian from which we derived the relation between the conjugate momentum and the velocity of the particle expressed as [7]

$$\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\nabla} S_0 = 2 [E - V(\vec{r})] \quad . \quad (5)$$

We consider this last equation as the Quantum law of 3D motions. It is because of -as we will see later- the fact that we should use this equation in order to

plot the 3D quantum trajectories. Also, it can be seen as a generalization into 3D of the 1D quantum law of motion already established in Ref. [1]

$$\dot{x} \frac{dS_0}{dx} = 2 (E - V(x)) . \quad (6)$$

The elaboration of the 3D quantum law of motion (Eq. (5)) for a general form of the potential is an important step to investigate the 3D motions. However, we should plot the 3D quantum trajectories when it is possible in order to understand the behaviour of the quantum particle in 3D spaces. With this aim, we should act exactly as we have done for 1D quantum and relativistic quantum trajectories [2, 4]. Indeed, we must first resolve the Schrödinger equation (Eq. (4)) to find the functions θ and ϕ , which we replace into the expression of the reduced action (Eq. (1)). Then, we replace the expression (2) into Eq. (5). After resolving Eq. (5), we can plot the quantum trajectories. Note that for a general potential, plotting the 3D quantum trajectories is not evident. This is why, in this article, we study the case of the central potential, and plot the trajectories of an electron moving under the atomic potential.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sec. 2, we introduce the 3D-QSHJE and its solutions for the central potential. Then, in Sec. 3, we establish the quantum law of motion for this potential and elaborate a system of three differential equations which describe the dynamical behaviour of the quantum particles. In Sec. 4, we plot the 3D quantum trajectories of the Hydrogen's electron, for several bound states. Finally, In sec. 5, we present a conclusion in which we discuss our results.

Some calculation and demonstrations are given in the and of the article as appendix.

2. The 3D-QSHJE for the central potential

The central potential is a potential for which only the radial position determine its value

$$V(\vec{r}) = V(r) .$$

In order to construct the 3D-QSHJE for such a potential, we write the Schrödinger equation (Eq. (4)) with the spherical coordinates (r, ϑ, φ) [9]

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial r^2} + \frac{2}{r} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial \vartheta^2} + \frac{\cot \vartheta}{r^2} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial \vartheta} + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \vartheta} \frac{\partial^2 \Psi}{\partial \varphi^2} + \frac{2m_0}{\hbar^2} [E - V(r)] \Psi = 0 . \quad (7)$$

$\Psi(r, \vartheta, \varphi)$ is the wave function of the quantum system taken into consideration. To resolve Eq. (7), the variables must be separated into $\Psi(r, \vartheta, \varphi)$ as [9]

$$\Psi(r, \vartheta, \varphi) = R(r) T(\vartheta) F(\varphi) ,$$

where $R(r)$, $T(\vartheta)$ and $F(\varphi)$ are real functions of the variables r , ϑ and φ respectively. $R(r)$ is the radial wave function, $T(\vartheta)$ and $F(\varphi)$ are the angular wave functions. It follows that Eq. (7) will be separated into three equations, each corresponds to one variable,

$$\frac{r^2}{R} \frac{d^2 R}{dr^2} + \frac{2r}{R} \frac{dR}{dr} + \frac{2m_0}{\hbar^2} r^2 (E - V(r)) = \lambda , \quad (8)$$

$$\frac{d^2 T}{d\vartheta^2} + \cot \vartheta \frac{dT}{d\vartheta} + \left(\lambda - \frac{m_l^2}{\sin^2 \vartheta} \right) T = 0 , \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{d^2 F}{d\varphi^2} + m_l^2 F = 0 . \quad (10)$$

λ is a constant such as

$$\lambda = l(l+1),$$

l being a positive integer or vanish, and m_l is an integer satisfying to

$$-l \leq m_l \leq l .$$

$l(l+1)$ is the eigen value of L^2 , L representing the angular momentum operator. m_l represents the eigen value of L_z , the operator projection of L along the z axis.

Now, let us write Eqs. (8), (9) and (10) in a form analogous to the one dimensional Schrödinger equation. First, we take up Eq. (8) and write

$$R(r) = \frac{\mathcal{X}(r)}{r} , \quad (11)$$

where $\mathcal{X}(r)$ is a function of the radius. Then by taking twice the derivative of Eq. (11) with respect to r , we obtain

$$\frac{dR}{dr} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{d\mathcal{X}}{dr} - \frac{\mathcal{X}}{r^2} , \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{d^2 R}{dr^2} = \frac{1}{r} \frac{d^2 \mathcal{X}}{dr^2} - 2 \frac{d\mathcal{X}/dr}{r^2} + 2 \frac{\mathcal{X}}{r^3} . \quad (13)$$

substituting Eqs. (12) and (13) into Eq. (8), we get

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0} \frac{d^2 \mathcal{X}}{dr^2} + \left[V(r) + \frac{\lambda \hbar^2}{2m_0 r^2} \right] \mathcal{X} = E \mathcal{X} . \quad (14)$$

This last equation has the same form as the one dimensional Schrödinger equation for $\mathcal{X}(r)$ with an energy E and a fictitious potential

$$V'(r) = V(r) + \frac{\lambda \hbar^2}{2m_0 r^2} . \quad (15)$$

Likewise, Eq. (9) can be reduced to the form of the 1D Schrödinger equation. This can be done by introducing the function $\mathcal{T}(\vartheta)$ defined as

$$\mathcal{T}(\vartheta) = \sin^{\frac{1}{2}} \vartheta T(\vartheta) . \quad (16)$$

Taking twice the derivative of this last equation with respect to ϑ , we deduce

$$\frac{dT}{d\vartheta} = \frac{1}{\sin^{\frac{1}{2}} \vartheta} \frac{d\mathcal{T}}{d\vartheta} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\cos \vartheta}{\sin^{\frac{3}{2}} \vartheta} \mathcal{T} , \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{d^2 T}{d\vartheta^2} = \frac{1}{\sin^{\frac{1}{2}} \vartheta} \frac{d^2 \mathcal{T}}{d\vartheta^2} - \frac{\cos \vartheta}{\sin^{\frac{3}{2}} \vartheta} \frac{d\mathcal{T}}{d\vartheta} + \frac{3 \cos^2 \vartheta}{4 \sin^{\frac{5}{2}} \vartheta} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathcal{T}}{\sin^{\frac{1}{2}} \vartheta} . \quad (18)$$

If we replace Eqs. (17) and (18) into Eq. (19) we obtain

$$\frac{d^2 \mathcal{T}}{d\vartheta^2} + \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{4}\right) \mathcal{T} + \frac{(1/4 - m_l^2)}{\sin^2 \vartheta} \mathcal{T} = 0 . \quad (19)$$

Remark that Eq. (19) has the form of the one dimensional Schrödinger equation with a fictitious potential

$$V(\vartheta) = \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0} \frac{(m_l^2 - 1/4)}{\sin^2 \vartheta} , \quad (20)$$

and an energy

$$E_\vartheta = \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{4}\right) \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0} . \quad (21)$$

Remark also that Eq. (10) has the same form as the 1D Schrödinger equation with a vanishing potential and an energy equal to $m_l^2 \hbar^2 / 2m$.

Because Eqs.(8), (9) and (10) come to the form of the 1D Schrödinger equation. So, in order to obtain the 3D-QSHJE, let us write the functions $\mathcal{X}(r)$, $\mathcal{T}(\vartheta)$ and $F(\varphi)$ as

$$\mathcal{X}(r) = A(r) \left[\alpha e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} Z(r)} + \beta e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} Z(r)} \right] , \quad (22)$$

$$\mathcal{T}(\vartheta) = \xi(\vartheta) \left[\gamma e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} L(\vartheta)} + \varepsilon e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} L(\vartheta)} \right] , \quad (23)$$

$$F(\varphi) = \eta(\varphi) \left[\sigma e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} M(\varphi)} + \omega e^{-\frac{i}{\hbar} M(\varphi)} \right] . \quad (24)$$

By replacing Eqs. (22), (23) and (24) respectively in Eqs. (8), (9) and (10), we get

$$\frac{1}{2m_0} \left(\frac{dZ}{dr} \right)^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{2m_0} \frac{1}{A} \frac{d^2 A}{dr^2} - V(r) + \frac{\lambda \hbar^2}{2m_0 r^2} = E , \quad (25)$$

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left(A^2 \frac{dZ}{dr} \right) = 0 , \quad (26)$$

$$\left(\frac{dL}{d\vartheta} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{\xi} \frac{d^2 \xi}{d\vartheta^2} - \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{4}\right) \hbar^2 - \frac{(1/4 - m_l^2)}{\sin^2 \vartheta} \hbar^2 = \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{4}\right) \hbar^2 , \quad (27)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\vartheta} \left(\xi^2 \frac{dL}{d\vartheta} \right) = 0 , \quad (28)$$

$$\left(\frac{dM}{d\varphi} \right)^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{\eta(\varphi)} \frac{d^2 \eta}{d\varphi^2} - m_l^2 \hbar^2 = 0 , \quad (29)$$

$$\frac{d}{d\varphi} \left(\eta^2 \frac{dM}{d\varphi} \right) = 0 . \quad (30)$$

Replacing Eq. (26) into Eq. (25), Eq. (28) into Eq. (27) and Eq. (30) into Eq. (29), we find

$$\frac{1}{2m_0} \left(\frac{dZ}{dr} \right)^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{4m_0} \{Z, r\} + V(r) + \frac{\lambda \hbar^2}{2m_0 r^2} = E , \quad (31)$$

$$\left(\frac{dL}{d\vartheta} \right)^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \{L, \vartheta\} + \frac{(m_l^2 - 1/4)}{\sin^2 \vartheta} \hbar^2 = \left(\lambda + \frac{1}{4}\right) \hbar^2 , \quad (32)$$

$$\left(\frac{dM}{d\varphi}\right)^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \{M, \varphi\} = m_l^2 \hbar^2. \quad (33)$$

Eqs. (31), (32) and (33) represent the components of the QSHJE in 3-D for a central potential. These equations contain the Schwarzian derivatives of the functions Z , L and M with respect to r , ϑ and φ respectively. Note that, by deducing the value of λ from (31) and the value of m_l from (33), then substituting in Eq. (32), one obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2m_0} \left[\left(\frac{dZ}{dr}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{dL}{d\vartheta}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \vartheta} \left(\frac{dM}{d\varphi}\right)^2 \right] - \\ & \frac{\hbar^2}{4m_0} \left[\{Z, r\} + \frac{1}{r^2} \{L, \vartheta\} + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \vartheta} \{M, \varphi\} \right] + \\ & V(r) - \frac{\hbar^2}{8m_0 r^2} - \frac{\hbar^2}{8m_0 r^2 \sin^2 \vartheta} = E. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

Now, considering the functions Z , L and M as the reduced actions corresponding to the directions of the variables r , ϑ and φ , we can define a total reduced action of our system by summing the three reduced actions ¹

$$S_0(r, \vartheta, \varphi) = Z(r) + L(\vartheta) + M(\varphi). \quad (35)$$

Taking up this equation in Eq. (34), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2m_0} \left(\vec{\nabla}_{r, \vartheta, \varphi} S_0 \right)^2 - \frac{\hbar^2}{4m_0} \left[\{S_0, r\} + \frac{1}{r^2} \{S_0, \vartheta\} + \right. \\ & \left. \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \vartheta} \{S_0, \varphi\} \right] + V(r) - \frac{\hbar^2}{8m_0 r^2} - \frac{\hbar^2}{8m_0 r^2 \sin^2 \vartheta} = E. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

Eq. (35) represents the QSHJE in 3-D for a central potential. At the classical limit ($\hbar \rightarrow 0$), Eq. (35) goes to

$$\frac{1}{2m} \left(\vec{\nabla}_{r, \vartheta, \varphi} S_0 \right)^2 + V(r) = E. \quad (37)$$

which is the Classical Stationary Hamilton-Jacobi Equation (CSHJE). Remark that taking the classical limit in Eqs. (31), (32) and (33) make $dL/d\vartheta$ and $dM/d\varphi$ vanish, then, one cannot obtain the CSHJE (Eq.(37)). We deduce that the classical limit must be taken into Eq. (36).

Note also that considering the 3D QSHJE Eq. (36), and separating variables with taking into account Eq. (35), we get to Eqs. (31), (32) and (33) which lead to Eqs. (8), (9) and (10). After separating variables in Eq. (36), three constants of motion appear, they are the energy E , λ and m_l .

The solutions of Eqs. (31), (32) and (33) are respectively

$$Z(r) = \hbar \arctan \left\{ \alpha_r \frac{\mathcal{X}_2}{\mathcal{X}_1} + b_r \right\}, \quad (38)$$

$$L(\vartheta) = \hbar \arctan \left\{ \alpha_\vartheta \frac{\mathcal{T}_2}{\mathcal{T}_1} + b_\vartheta \right\}, \quad (39)$$

¹Which is also valid for the classical case.

$$M(\varphi) = \hbar \arctan \left\{ \alpha_\varphi \frac{F_2}{F_1} + b_\varphi \right\} , \quad (40)$$

Where α_r , b_r , α_ϑ , b_ϑ , α_φ and a_φ are real constants. $\mathcal{X}_1$ and $\mathcal{X}_2$ are two real independent solutions of Eq. (17). $\mathcal{T}_1$ and $\mathcal{T}_2$ are two real independent solutions of Eq. (18). F_1 and F_2 are two real independent solutions of Eq. (19).

Now, knowing that: " the wronskian

$$\psi_1 \frac{d\psi_2}{dq} - \psi_2 \frac{d\psi_1}{dq}$$

of two independent solutions of a second order differential equation with respect to q is a constant k_q ", the expressions (38), (39) and (40) reduce to

$$Z(r) = \hbar \arctan \left\{ a_r \int \frac{dr}{\mathcal{X}_1^2} + b_r \right\} , \quad (41)$$

$$L(\vartheta) = \hbar \arctan \left\{ a_\vartheta \int \frac{d\vartheta}{\mathcal{T}_1^2} + b_\vartheta \right\} , \quad (42)$$

$$M(\varphi) = \hbar \arctan \left\{ a_\varphi \int \frac{d\varphi}{F_1^2} + b_\varphi \right\} , \quad (43)$$

where $a_r = k_r \alpha_r$, $a_\vartheta = k_\vartheta \alpha_\vartheta$ and $a_\varphi = k_\varphi \alpha_\varphi$ are real constants. In Eqs. (41), (42) and (43), we need to determine only one of the two independent solutions of Eqs. (8), (9) and (10) respectively.

Before entering into the elaboration of the quantum law of motion, we would like to exhibit the fact that for the central potential, only the radial function $\mathcal{X}$ depend on the form of the potential, otherwise the two angular functions $\mathcal{T}$ and F can be established without considering of the form of the central potential. Indeed, if we take a look on the table of solutions of the Schrödinger equation for such a potential we should write the angular function $\mathcal{T}_1$ as [10]

$$\mathcal{T}_1^{(lm_l)}(\vartheta) = (1 - \cos^2(\vartheta))^{\frac{|m_l|}{2} + \frac{1}{4}} \frac{d^{l+|m_l|}}{d(\cos(\vartheta))^{l+|m_l|}} [(1 - \cos^2(\vartheta))^l] , \quad (44)$$

and the angular function F_1 as

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(\varphi) &= \sin(|m_l|\varphi) & \text{if } m_l \neq 0 \\ &= 1 & \text{if } m_l = 0 . \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

It is usual to remark that we do not include the normalization constants in the two last expressions of the angular functions, since we consider the two mathematical solutions and not the physical's.

3. The Quantum law of motion for a particle moving under the central potential

Now let us investigate the quantum law of motion. In Ref. [7], we have presented a 3D formalism from which we described the quantum law of 3D motions for a general potential. We established a relation connecting between

the 3D velocity and the 3D quantum momentum (Eq. (5)). Using the spherical coordinates into Eq. (5) for the central potential case, we write

$$\dot{r} \frac{dZ}{dr} + \dot{\vartheta} \frac{dL}{d\vartheta} + \dot{\varphi} \frac{dM}{d\varphi} = 2[E - V(r)] \quad (46)$$

As in classic mechanics, we do not use directly Eq. (46) to plot the trajectories. We must first, separate the variables r , ϑ and φ . This seems not possible from Eq. (46), and must be done in the 3D QSHJE (Eq. (36)). We noted in Sec. 2 that the separation of variables in Eq. (36), after taking into account of Eq. (35), leads directly to Eqs. (31), (32) and (33).

In Ref. [7], we have considered a deformation of the space like for general relativity. We treated the 3D quantum systems with a general potential by using the mechanics of a deformed space. This allowed us to introduce a quantum Lagrangian and derive the quantum law of motion (Eq. (5)). For the central potential, we proceed with the same manner. Thus, let us write Eq. (36) as

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{dZ}{dr}\right)^2 \frac{1}{a_{rr}(r)} + \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{dL}{d\vartheta}\right)^2 \frac{1}{a_{\vartheta\vartheta}(\vartheta)} + \\ \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2(\vartheta)} \left(\frac{dM}{d\varphi}\right)^2 \frac{1}{a_{\varphi\varphi}(\varphi)} = 2m_0[E - V(r)] , \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{rr}(r) &= \left[1 - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \left(\frac{dZ}{dr}\right)^{-2} \{Z, r\} \right] , \\ a_{\vartheta\vartheta}(\vartheta) &= \left[1 - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \left(\frac{dL}{d\vartheta}\right)^{-2} \left(\{L, \vartheta\} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] , \end{aligned} \quad (48)$$

and

$$a_{\varphi\varphi}(\varphi) = \left[1 - \frac{\hbar^2}{2} \left(\frac{dM}{d\varphi}\right)^{-2} \left(\{M, \varphi\} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] ,$$

are real functions depending on r , ϑ and φ respectively. They represents the non vanishing components of the metric tensor of the curved space created by the central potential. The Lagrangian is given as

$$\begin{aligned} L(\dot{r}, \dot{\vartheta}, \dot{\varphi}, r, \vartheta, \varphi) = \frac{m_0 \dot{r}^2}{2} a_{rr}(r) + \frac{m_0 r^2 \dot{\vartheta}^2}{2} a_{\vartheta\vartheta}(\vartheta) + \\ \frac{m_0 r^2 \sin^2(\vartheta) \dot{\varphi}^2}{2} a_{\varphi\varphi}(\varphi) - V(r) , \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

and leads to the dynamical equation

$$\frac{m_0 \dot{r}^2}{2} a_{rr}(r) + \frac{m_0 r^2 \dot{\vartheta}^2}{2} a_{\vartheta\vartheta}(\vartheta) + \frac{m_0 r^2 \sin^2(\vartheta) \dot{\varphi}^2}{2} a_{\varphi\varphi}(\varphi) + V(r) = E . \quad (50)$$

After comparison between Eqs. (47) and (50), we get

$$a_{rr} = \frac{dZ/dr}{m_0 \dot{r}} , \quad (51)$$

$$a_{\vartheta\vartheta} = \frac{dL/d\vartheta}{m_0 r^2 \dot{\vartheta}} , \quad (52)$$

$$a_{\varphi\varphi} = \frac{dM/d\varphi}{m_0 r^2 \sin^2(\vartheta) \dot{\varphi}} . \quad (53)$$

Replacing these last equations into Eq. (47), we deduce directly Eq. (46). Now, replacing Eqs. (51), (52) and (53) into Eqs. (31), (32) and (33) respectively after taking into account of the relations (48), we find

$$\frac{dZ}{dr} \dot{r} = 2 \left[E - V(r) - \frac{l(l+1)\hbar^2}{2m_0 r^2} \right] , \quad (54)$$

$$\frac{dL}{d\vartheta} \dot{\vartheta} = \frac{l(l+1)\hbar^2}{m_0 r^2} - \frac{(m_l^2 - 1/4)\hbar^2}{m_0 r^2 \sin^2(\vartheta)} , \quad (55)$$

$$\frac{dM}{d\varphi} \dot{\varphi} = \frac{(m_l^2 - 1/4)\hbar^2}{m_0 r^2 \sin^2(\vartheta)} . \quad (56)$$

The three above relations represent the decomposition of Eq. (46) with respect to the variables r , ϑ and φ . Indeed, if one takes the summation of Eqs. (54), (55) and (56) we find Eq. (46). In fact, these equations describe the dynamical behaviour of a particle moving under the central potential, each one describes it in the corresponding coordinate (r , ϑ or φ).

Note that only Eq. (54) depends on the nature of the central potential $V(r)$ while Eqs. (55) and (56) do not depend on it. Then, Eqs. (55) and (56) can be studied whatever the nature of $V(r)$. This is not the case for Eq. (54). So, as an application of the 3D quantum law of motion (Eqs. (46), (54), (55) and (56)), we propose in the following sections to plot the trajectories of an electron moving under the action of the Hydrogen potential (Hydrogen atom). This will allow us to acquire a more comprehension of the 3D quantum law of motion.

4. Classical trajectories of a particle moving under a central potential

Before entering to plot the quantum trajectories, it is useful to present the classical Hamilton-Jacobi formulation of mechanics and also to establish the classical trajectories of a particle moving under the central potential.

The Hamilton-Jacobi formulation is based on the CHJE given as

$$\frac{1}{2m_0} \left(\vec{\nabla} S_0 \right)^2 + V(\vec{r}) = E . \quad (57)$$

S_0 represents the reduced action. Eq. (57) is written for the case of a central potential, after considering of the relation (35), as follows

$$\frac{1}{2m_0} \left(\frac{dZ}{dr} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2m_0} \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\frac{dL}{d\vartheta} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2m_0} \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2(\vartheta)} \left(\frac{dM}{d\varphi} \right)^2 + V(r) = E . \quad (58)$$

The separation of the variables into Eq. (58) gives

$$\left(\frac{dZ}{dr} \right)^2 = 2m_0 \left[E - V(r) - \frac{\alpha}{2m_0 r^2} \right] , \quad (59)$$

$$\left(\frac{dL}{d\vartheta}\right)^2 = \alpha - \frac{\beta^2}{\sin^2(\vartheta)}, \quad (60)$$

and

$$\left(\frac{dM}{d\varphi}\right)^2 = \beta^2. \quad (61)$$

α and β are real constants. As we know, the momenta dZ/dr , $dL/d\vartheta$ and $dM/d\varphi$ are connected to the speeds $\dot{r}$, $\dot{\vartheta}$ and $\dot{\varphi}$ as follows

$$\frac{dZ}{dr} = m_0 \dot{r}, \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dL}{d\vartheta} = m_0 r^2 \dot{\vartheta}, \quad (63)$$

$$\frac{dM}{d\varphi} = m_0 r^2 \sin^2(\vartheta) \dot{\varphi}. \quad (64)$$

Taking these equations into Eqs. (59), (60) and (61), we find

$$\dot{r} = \pm \sqrt{\frac{2}{m_0}} \sqrt{E - V(r) - \frac{\alpha}{2m_0 r^2}}, \quad (65)$$

$$\dot{\vartheta} = \pm \frac{1}{m_0 r^2} \sqrt{\alpha - \frac{\beta^2}{\sin^2(\vartheta)}}, \quad (66)$$

$$\dot{\varphi} = \pm \frac{\beta}{m_0 r^2 \sin^2(\vartheta)}, \quad (67)$$

The $\pm$ signs in Eqs. (65), (66) and (67) indicate that the motion of the particle may be in either direction on the r axis, ϑ and φ circles respectively. Now, we can integrate Eqs. (65), (66) and (67) to give the three time equation with respect to the coordinates r , ϑ and φ , but before, we write these equations as

$$\sqrt{\frac{2}{m_0}} dt = \pm \frac{dr}{\sqrt{E - V(r) - \alpha/(2m_0 r^2)}}, \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dt}{m_0 r^2} = \pm \frac{d\vartheta}{\sqrt{\alpha - \beta^2/\sin^2(\vartheta)}}, \quad (69)$$

$$\frac{dt}{m_0 r^2 \sin^2(\vartheta)} = \pm \frac{d\varphi}{\beta}. \quad (70)$$

We remark that to integrate Eq. (68) can be integrated directly after knowing the form of the central potential (either analytically or numerically). However, to integrate Eq. (69), we must first write r -already integrated from Eq. (68)- in function of the time t . Also, to integrate Eq. (70), we should set the variables r and ϑ in function of t . In spite of the importance of the time equations, we will focus on the spatial trajectory equations. These last's can be derived directly from Eqs. (68-70) after parameterizing the time t . Thus, we deduce

$$\sin^2(\vartheta) d\varphi = \pm \frac{\beta dr}{r^2 \sqrt{2m_0(E - V(r)) - \alpha/r^2}}, \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{d\vartheta}{\sqrt{\alpha - \beta^2/\sin^2(\vartheta)}} = \pm \frac{dr}{r^2 \sqrt{2m_0(E - V(r)) - \alpha/r^2}}, \quad (72)$$

$$d\varphi = \pm \frac{d\vartheta}{\sin(\vartheta) \sqrt{(\alpha/\beta^2) \sin^2(\vartheta) - 1}}. \quad (73)$$

Integrating these last equations we find the trajectory equation $\mathcal{F}(r, \vartheta, \varphi) = 0$. In order to compare the classical trajectories with the quantum trajectories, we plot in Fig. 1 the trajectory of an electron under the atomic potential (Hydrogen atom)

$$V(r) = -\frac{k e^2}{r}, \quad (74)$$

where $k = 9.10^9 S.I$ is the electric constant and e the charge of the electron. We give the energy E the value $-(13.6/4)$ eV, which correspond in the quantum case to the energy of the first excited state of the Hydrogen atom ($n = 2$, (n is the principle integer)). We chose this value of energy in order to compare the classical trajectory (Fig. 1) with the quantum trajectories corresponding to this value of energy. For the same reason, we have chosen the constants $\alpha = 2 \hbar^2$ and $\beta = (\sqrt{3}/2) \hbar$ equal to the values of $l(l+1) \hbar^2$ and $\sqrt{m_l^2 - 1/4} \hbar$ respectively, for $l = 1$ and $m_l = 1$. Then, the quantum trajectories corresponding to the classical trajectory are those plotted for $n = 2$, $l = 1$ and $m_l = 1$ (Figs. (8) and (10)).

The classical trajectory showed in Fig. 1 is an inclined ellipse. This trajectory is obviously famous since it is the trajectory of a planet submitted to the gravitation force of the sun, and this force looks like the electric force of the atom.

5. Quantum orbits of the Hydrogen's electron

In this section we will plot the 3D quantum trajectories. First, let us write Eqs. (54), (55) and (56) as follows

$$2 dt = \left[E - V(r) - \frac{l(l+1)\hbar^2}{2m_0 r^2} \right]^{-1} \frac{dZ}{dr} dr, \quad (75)$$

$$dt = \frac{m_0 r^2}{\hbar^2} \left[l(l+1) - \frac{(m_l^2 - 1/4)}{\sin^2(\vartheta)} \right]^{-1} \frac{dL}{d\vartheta} d\vartheta, \quad (76)$$

$$dt = \frac{m_0 r^2 \sin^2(\vartheta)}{(m_l^2 - 1/4)\hbar^2} \frac{dM}{d\varphi} d\varphi. \quad (77)$$

Integrating Eqs. (75), (76) and (77), we find the time equations. In order to plot the quantum trajectories, we need to find the trajectories equations. In this order, we parameterize the time dt into Eqs. (75), (76) and (77) so as to obtain

$$\frac{\sin^2(\vartheta)}{(m_l^2 - 1/4)} \frac{dM}{d\varphi} d\varphi = \left[\frac{2m_0}{\hbar^2} r^2 (E - V(r)) - l(l+1) \right]^{-1} \frac{dZ}{dr} dr, \quad (78)$$

$$\left[l(l+1) - \frac{(m_l^2 - 1/4)}{\sin^2(\vartheta)} \right]^{-1} \frac{dL}{d\vartheta} d\vartheta = \left[\frac{2m_0}{\hbar^2} r^2 (E - V(r)) - l(l+1) \right]^{-1} \frac{dZ}{dr} dr, \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dM}{d\varphi} d\varphi = \left[\frac{l(l+1)}{(m_l^2 - 1/4)} \sin^2(\vartheta) - 1 \right]^{-1} \frac{dL}{d\vartheta} d\vartheta. \quad (80)$$

In what follows Eqs. (78), (79) and (80) are using to plot the 3D quantum spatial trajectories (orbits). Expressions of the quantum momenta dZ/dr , $dL/d\vartheta$ and $dM/d\varphi$ are deduced directly from the corresponding quantum reduced actions Z , L and M (Eqs. (41), (42) and (43) respectively)

$$\frac{dZ}{dr} = \pm \frac{\hbar a_r}{(a_r \mathcal{X}_1 \int \frac{dr}{\mathcal{X}_1^2} + b_r \mathcal{X}_1)^2 + \mathcal{X}_1^2}, \quad (81)$$

$$\frac{dL}{d\vartheta} = \pm \frac{\hbar a_\vartheta}{(a_\vartheta \mathcal{T}_1 \int \frac{d\vartheta}{\mathcal{T}_1^2} + b_\vartheta \mathcal{T}_1)^2 + \mathcal{T}_1^2}, \quad (82)$$

$$\frac{dM}{d\varphi} = \pm \frac{\hbar a_\varphi}{(a_\varphi F_1 \int \frac{d\varphi}{F_1^2} + b_\varphi F_1)^2 + F_1^2}. \quad (83)$$

Knowing the expressions of the functions $\mathcal{X}_1$, $\mathcal{T}_1$ and F_1 , the quantum momenta will be known and the system of the three differential equations (Eqs. (78-80)) can be resolved numerically for the different values of the constants a_r , b_r , a_ϑ , b_ϑ , a_φ and b_φ . The $\pm$ sign in the expressions of the quantum momenta explicit the fact that the motion of the particle may be in either direction of the r axis, ϑ semicircle and φ circle.

Note: In fact two of Eqs. (78-80) are sufficient to integrate and determine the quantum spatial trajectories since the third equation is automatically satisfied when the two others are.

Now, let us consider the quantum motion of the Hydrogen's electron. We take up the expression of the atomic potential given in Eq. (74). In this case, the resolution of Eq. (14) is obvious and gives for the radial function $\mathcal{X}$ the following expression [10]

$$\mathcal{X}_1^{(nl)}(r) = \exp\left(\frac{r}{na_o}\right) \frac{r^{-l}}{a_o} \frac{d^{n-l-1}}{dr^{n-l-1}} \left[\frac{r^{n+l}}{a_o} \exp\left(-\frac{2r}{na_o}\right) \right] \quad (84)$$

n being an integer number representing the principle quantum number, and

$$a_o = \frac{\hbar^2}{m_0 k e^2} \quad (85)$$

the Bohr's radius. The function $\mathcal{X}_1^{(nl)}$ corresponds to the energy

$$E_n = -\frac{k e^2}{2 n^2 a_o}. \quad (86)$$

Fixing the values of n , l and m into Eqs. (84), (44) and (45) and substituting these last equations into Eqs. (81), (82) and (83) respectively, then replacing

these lasts' and Eqs. (85) and (86) into Eqs. (78-80), we get a system of three differential equations which after integrating leads to the 3D quantum trajectories of the electron for the corresponding bound state (n, l, m_l) . Naturally, these trajectories depend on the constants a_r , b_r , a_ϑ , b_ϑ , a_φ and b_φ . So, for several sets of those constants it correspond many trajectories (See Figs. (2-12)). In other words, for each bound state many trajectories exist exactly as we have found for the 1D quantum motions. We will show this by plotting the trajectories of four bound states, the fundamental state $(n = 1, l = 0, m_l = 0)$, and the excited bound states $(n = 2, l = 0, m_l = 0)$, $(n = 2, l = 1, m_l = 0)$ and $(n = 2, l = 1, m_l = 1)^2$. With this aim, for each state we proceed as follows: First we explicit the functions $\mathcal{X}_1^{(nl)}$, $\mathcal{T}_1^{(lm)}$ and F_1 from Eqs. (84), (44) and (45). Secondly, we integrate numerically Eq. (75) and plot the time equation $r(t)$ for different values of the constants a_r and b_ϑ . After, by numerical methods we integrate two of Eqs. (78-79) for different values of the constants and plot the quantum spatial trajectories.

a- the fundamental state

For this state, we have $n = 1, l = 0$ and $m_l = 0$. The functions $\mathcal{X}_1^{(10)}$, $\mathcal{T}_1^{(00)}$ and F_1 are deduced from Eqs. (84), (44) and (45) as

$$\mathcal{X}_1^{(10)}(r) = \frac{r}{a_o} \exp\left(-\frac{r}{a_o}\right), \quad (87)$$

$$\mathcal{T}_1^{(00)}(\vartheta) = \sin^{\frac{1}{2}}(\vartheta), \quad (88)$$

$$F_1^{(0)}(\varphi) = 1. \quad (89)$$

Replacing Eqs. (87), (88) and (89) into Eqs. (81), (82) and (83), we find

$$\frac{dZ}{dr} = \pm \frac{\hbar a_r \left(\frac{r}{a_o}\right)^{-2} e^{\frac{2r}{a_o}}}{\left(a_r \int \frac{r}{a_o}^{-2} e^{\frac{2r}{a_o}} dr + b_r\right)^2 + 1}, \quad (90)$$

$$\frac{dL}{d\vartheta} = \pm \frac{\hbar a_\vartheta \sin^{-1}(\vartheta)}{[a_\vartheta \log(|\tan(\frac{\vartheta}{2})|) + b_\vartheta]^2 + 1}, \quad (91)$$

$$\frac{dM}{d\varphi} = \pm \frac{\hbar a_\varphi}{(a_\varphi \varphi + b_\varphi)^2 + 1}. \quad (92)$$

Replacing Eq. (90) into Eq. (75), we find

$$\frac{\hbar}{m_0} dt = \pm \left(-\frac{r^2}{a_o^2} + \frac{2r}{a_o}\right)^{-1} \frac{a_r a_o^2 e^{\frac{2r}{a_o}}}{\left(a_r \int \left(\frac{r}{a_o}\right)^{-2} e^{\frac{2r}{a_o}} dr + b_r\right)^2 + 1} dr, \quad (93)$$

Integrating numerically Eq. (93), we plot the radial time trajectories given in Fig. 2. In this figure, the electron moves between two radial extremities $r = 0$ and $r = 2a_o$, and describes different trajectories for the same state. All these

²The considered excited states in this article do not obeys to the selection law that we consider in spectroscopy of the Hydrogen atom. We just use these states in order to make a simple description of the quantum trajectories for different values of the quantum numbers n , l and m_l .

trajectories pass throw some points constituting nodes with the same manner as for the 1D motions [2, 4]. The radial position 0 of the nodes correspond to the zero of the radial function $\mathcal{X}_1^{(10)}$. In particular, the nodes situated at $r = 2 a_o$ correspond to the vanishing value of the radial velocity given by Eq. (93). Remark that all the trajectories oscillate about the trajectory for which the radial hidden variables take the values $a_r = 0.36$ and $b_r = 0.52$. Now, taking Eqs. (90), (91) and (92) into Eqs. (78), (79) and (80), we get

$$\frac{4 a_\varphi \sin^2(\vartheta)}{(a_\varphi \varphi + b_\varphi)^2 + 1} d\varphi = \pm \frac{a_r a_o^2 \left(-\frac{r^2}{a_o^2} + \frac{2r}{a_o}\right)^{-1} r^{-2} e^{\frac{2r}{a_o}}}{\left(a_r \int \left(\frac{r}{a_o}\right)^{-2} e^{\frac{2r}{a_o}} dr + b_r\right)^2 + 1} dr, \quad (94)$$

$$\frac{4 a_\vartheta \sin(\vartheta)}{[a_\vartheta \log(|\tan(\frac{\vartheta}{2})|) + b_\vartheta]^2 + 1} d\vartheta = \pm \frac{a_r a_o^2 \left(-\frac{r^2}{a_o^2} + \frac{2r}{a_o}\right)^{-1} r^{-2} e^{\frac{2r}{a_o}}}{\left(a_r \int \left(\frac{r}{a_o}\right)^{-2} e^{\frac{2r}{a_o}} dr + b_r\right)^2 + 1} dr, \quad (95)$$

$$\frac{a_\varphi}{(a_\varphi \varphi + b_\varphi)^2 + 1} d\varphi = \pm \frac{a_\vartheta \sin^{-1}(\vartheta)}{[a_\vartheta \log(|\tan(\frac{\vartheta}{2})|) + b_\vartheta]^2 + 1} d\vartheta. \quad (96)$$

Integrating the last equations, we plot the 3D quantum spatial trajectories given in Fig. 3. This figure shows the 3D behaviour of the Hydrogen's electron. The electron describes different trajectories which pass from three points constituting spatial nodes. One of these nodes corresponds to $r = 0$ ³ and the two others to $r = 2 a_o$.

It is useful to indicate that the motion of the electron in a state for which $m_l = 0$ is a purely quantum motion and cannot have a classical correspondent. The reason is because in Eqs. (60) and (61), β^2 never takes a negative values while in Eq. (55) and (56), $(m_l^2 - 1/4)\hbar^2$ takes a negative values for $m_l = 0$, and this make impossible the construction of the classical corresponding of Eqs. (55) and (56) by given β^2 a negative values since β is a real constant.

b- excited state: $n = 2, l = 0, m_l = 0$

For this state, the radial function $\mathcal{X}_1^{(20)}$ is

$$\mathcal{X}_1^{(20)}(r) = \left(\frac{r}{a_o}\right) \left(2 - \frac{r}{a_o}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{r}{2 a_o}\right), \quad (97)$$

while the angular functions still given by Eqs. (88) and (89). Replacing Eq. (97) into Eq. (81), we find

$$\frac{dZ}{dr} = \pm \frac{\hbar a_r \left(\frac{r}{a_o}\right)^{-2} \left(2 - \frac{r}{a_o}\right)^{-2} e^{\frac{r}{a_o}}}{\left(a_r \int \left(\frac{r}{a_o}\right)^{-2} \left(2 - \frac{r}{a_o}\right)^{-2} e^{\frac{r}{a_o}} dr + b_r\right)^2 + 1}, \quad (98)$$

³This position can be seen as the position of the nucleus in a first approximation and we may deduce that the electron fall on the nucleus. This is not the case because both electron and nucleus make a relative motion compared to their gravity center, so that the nucleus oscillate between the two radial positions $r_{nuc} = 0$ and $r_{nuc} = \frac{m_o}{m_o + M_{nuc}} r_{el}$, (for the hydrogen $r_{nuc} \simeq 10^{-13} \times m$). Then the electron has a real possibility to pass throw the position $r_{el} = 0$ without collision with the nucleus.

Taking Eq. (98) into Eq. (75), we find

$$\frac{\hbar}{m_0} dt = \pm \left(-\frac{r^2}{4a_o^2} + \frac{2r}{a_o} \right)^{-1} \frac{a_r a_o^2 \left(2 - \frac{r}{a_o} \right)^{-2} e^{\frac{r}{a_o}}}{\left(a_r \int \left(\frac{r}{a_o} \right)^{-2} \left(2 - \frac{r}{a_o} \right)^{-2} e^{\frac{r}{a_o}} dr + b_r \right)^2 + 1} dr , \quad (99)$$

Integrating numerically Eq. (99), we plot the radial time equation (Fig. 4.) for this bound state. In this figure, the electron moves between two radial extremities $r = 0$ and $r = 8a_o$, and describes different trajectories for the same state. All these trajectories pass through some points constituting nodes. The radial positions 0 and $2a_o$ of the nodes correspond to the zero of the radial function $\mathcal{X}_1^{(20)}$. In particular, the nodes situated at $r = 8a_o$ correspond to the vanishing value of the radial velocity given by Eq. (99).

Taking Eqs. (98), (91) and (92) into Eqs. (78), (79) and (80), we get

$$\frac{4a_\varphi \sin^2(\vartheta)}{(a_\varphi \varphi + b_\varphi)^2 + 1} d\varphi = \pm \frac{a_r a_o^2 \left(-\frac{r^2}{4a_o^2} + \frac{2r}{a_o} \right)^{-1} \left(2 - \frac{r}{a_o} \right)^{-2} r^{-2} e^{\frac{r}{a_o}}}{\left(a_r \int \left(\frac{r}{a_o} \right)^{-2} \left(2 - \frac{r}{a_o} \right)^{-2} e^{\frac{r}{a_o}} dr + b_r \right)^2 + 1} dr , \quad (100)$$

$$\frac{4a_\vartheta \sin(\vartheta)}{[a_\vartheta \log(\tan(\frac{\vartheta}{2})) + b_\vartheta]^2 + 1} d\vartheta = \pm \frac{a_r a_o^2 \left(-\frac{r^2}{4a_o^2} + \frac{2r}{a_o} \right)^{-1} \left(2 - \frac{r}{a_o} \right)^{-2} r^{-2} e^{\frac{r}{a_o}}}{\left(a_r \int \left(\frac{r}{a_o} \right)^{-2} \left(2 - \frac{r}{a_o} \right)^{-2} e^{\frac{r}{a_o}} dr + b_r \right)^2 + 1} dr , \quad (101)$$

$$\frac{a_\varphi}{(a_\varphi \varphi + b_\varphi)^2 + 1} d\varphi = \pm \frac{a_\vartheta \sin^{-1}(\vartheta)}{[a_\vartheta \log(\tan(\frac{\vartheta}{2})) + b_\vartheta]^2 + 1} d\vartheta . \quad (102)$$

Integrating Eqs. (100), (101) and (102) we plot the 3D quantum spatial trajectories given in Fig. 5 for this state. This figure shows clearly that for different values of the hidden variables the electron describes different trajectories which pass from three points constituting spatial nodes. One of these nodes corresponds to $r = 0$ and the two others to $r = 8a_o$. Remark that the positions $r = 2a_o$ constituting nodes for the time radial trajectories do not constitute nodes for the spatial trajectories. We think that this is due to the angular behaviour of the electron. In fact the angular trajectories in the (φ, ϑ) plan do not pass from any nodes for this state (see the Appendix).

c- excited state: $n = 2, l = 1, m_l = 0$

For this state, the radial function is

$$\mathcal{X}_1^{(21)}(\vartheta) = \frac{r^2}{a_o} \exp\left(-\frac{r}{2a_o}\right) , \quad (103)$$

and the angular function is deduced from Eq. (44) as

$$\mathcal{T}_1^{(10)}(r) = \sin^{\frac{1}{2}}(\vartheta) \cos(\vartheta) , \quad (104)$$

without taking into account of the multiplicative constant (-2) obtained in the calculation of $\mathcal{T}_1^{(10)}$ since it has no influence on our equations. The angular

function F_1^0 still given by Eq. (89). Replacing Eqs. (103) and (104) into Eqs. (81) and (82), we find

$$\frac{dZ}{dr} = \pm \frac{\hbar a_r \left(\frac{r}{a_o}\right)^{-4} e^{\frac{r}{a_o}}}{\left(a_r \int \left(\frac{r}{a_o}\right)^{-4} e^{\frac{2r}{a_o}} dr + b_r\right)^2 + 1}, \quad (105)$$

$$\frac{dL}{d\vartheta} = \pm \frac{\hbar a_\vartheta \sin^{-1}(\vartheta) \cos^{-2}(\vartheta)}{\left[a_\vartheta \left(\frac{1+\cos(\vartheta)}{\cos(\vartheta)} + \log(|\tan(\frac{\vartheta}{2})|)\right) + b_\vartheta\right]^2 + 1}, \quad (106)$$

Taking Eqs. (105) into Eqs. (75), we find

$$\frac{\hbar}{m_0} dt = \pm \frac{a_r a_o^2 \left(-\frac{r^2}{4a_o^2} + \frac{2r}{a_o} - 2\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{r}{a_o}\right)^{-2} e^{\frac{r}{a_o}}}{\left(a_r \int \left(\frac{r}{a_o}\right)^{-4} e^{\frac{2r}{a_o}} dr + b_r\right)^2 + 1} dr, \quad (107)$$

Integrating numerically Eq. (107), we plot the radial time equation (Fig. 6) for this bound state. The electron moves between two radial extremities $r = (4 - 2\sqrt{2})a_o$ and $r = (4 + 2\sqrt{2})a_o$, and describes different trajectories for the same state. All these trajectories pass through some points constituting nodes. These nodes are situated at $r = (4 - 2\sqrt{2})a_o$ and $r = (4 + 2\sqrt{2})a_o$ corresponding to the vanishing values of the radial velocity given by Eq. (107).

Taking Eqs. (105), (106) and (92) into Eqs. (78), (79) and (80), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4 a_\varphi \sin^2(\vartheta)}{(a_\varphi \varphi + b_\varphi)^2 + 1} d\varphi = \\ \pm \frac{a_r a_o^2 \left(-\frac{r^2}{4a_o^2} + \frac{2r}{a_o} - 2\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{r}{a_o}\right)^{-2} r^{-2} e^{\frac{r}{a_o}}}{\left(a_r \int \left(\frac{r}{a_o}\right)^{-4} e^{\frac{2r}{a_o}} dr + b_r\right)^2 + 1} dr, \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4 a_\vartheta (8 \sin^2(\vartheta) + 1)^{-1} \sin(\vartheta) \cos^{-2}(\vartheta)}{\left[a_\vartheta \left(\frac{1+\cos(\vartheta)}{\cos(\vartheta)} + \log(|\tan(\vartheta/2)|)\right) + b_\vartheta\right]^2 + 1} d\vartheta = \\ \pm \frac{a_r a_o^2 \left(-\frac{r^2}{4a_o^2} + \frac{2r}{a_o} - 2\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{r}{a_o}\right)^{-2} r^{-2} e^{\frac{r}{a_o}}}{\left(a_r \int \left(\frac{r}{a_o}\right)^{-4} e^{\frac{2r}{a_o}} dr + b_r\right)^2 + 1} dr, \end{aligned} \quad (109)$$

$$\frac{a_\varphi}{(a_\varphi \varphi + b_\varphi)^2 + 1} d\varphi = \pm \frac{a_\vartheta (8 \sin^2(\vartheta) + 1)^{-1} \sin^{-1}(\vartheta) \cos^{-2}(\vartheta)}{\left[a_\vartheta \left(\frac{1+\cos(\vartheta)}{\cos(\vartheta)} + \log(|\tan(\vartheta/2)|)\right) + b_\vartheta\right]^2 + 1} d\vartheta. \quad (110)$$

Integrating Eqs. (108), (109) and (110) we plot the 3D quantum spatial trajectories given in Fig. 7 for this state. This figure shows clearly that for different values of the hidden variables the electron describes different trajectories which pass from two points constituting spatial nodes corresponding to $r = (4 - 2\sqrt{2})a_o$ and $r = (4 + 2\sqrt{2})a_o$.

d- excited state: $n = 2, l = 1, m_l = 1$

For this state , the radial function is given by Eq. (103), while the angular functions are

$$\mathcal{T}_1^{(11)}(\vartheta) = \sin^{\frac{3}{2}}(\vartheta) , \quad (111)$$

$$F_1^{(1)} = \sin(\varphi) , \quad (112)$$

without taking into account of the multiplicative constant (-2) obtained in the calculation of $\mathcal{T}_1^{(11)}$. Replacing Eqs. (111) and (112) into Eqs. (82) and (83), we find

$$\frac{dL}{d\vartheta} = \pm \frac{\hbar a_{\vartheta} \sin^{-3}(\vartheta)}{\left[a_{\vartheta} \left(\frac{\cos(\vartheta)}{\cos(2\vartheta)-1} + \frac{1}{2} \log(|\tan(\frac{\vartheta}{2})|) \right) + b_{\vartheta} \right]^2 + 1} , \quad (113)$$

$$\frac{dM}{d\varphi} = \pm \frac{\hbar a_{\varphi}}{(a_{\varphi} \sin(\varphi) + b_{\varphi} \cos(\varphi))^2 + \cos^2(\varphi)} . \quad (114)$$

The radial time equation is given by Fig. 6 since the radial function is the same for the present and the previous bound states.

Taking Eqs. (105), (113) and (114) into Eqs. (78), (79) and (80), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\frac{4}{3} a_{\varphi} \sin^2(\vartheta)}{(a_{\varphi} \sin(\varphi) + b_{\varphi} \cos(\varphi))^2 + \cos^2(\varphi)} d\varphi = \\ & \pm \frac{a_r a_o^2 \left(-\frac{r^2}{4a_o^2} + \frac{2r}{a_o} - 2 \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{r}{a_o} \right)^{-2} r^{-2} e^{\frac{r}{a_o}}}{\left(a_r \int \left(\frac{r}{a_o} \right)^{-4} e^{\frac{r}{a_o}} dr + b_r \right)^2 + 1} dr , \quad (115) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\frac{4}{3} a_{\vartheta} \left(\frac{8}{3} \sin^2(\vartheta) - 1 \right)^{-1} \sin^{-1}(\vartheta)}{\left[a_{\vartheta} \left(\frac{\cos(\vartheta)}{\cos(2\vartheta)-1} + \frac{1}{2} \log(|\tan(\frac{\vartheta}{2})|) \right) + b_{\vartheta} \right]^2 + 1} d\vartheta = \\ & \pm \frac{a_r a_o^2 \left(-\frac{r^2}{4a_o^2} + \frac{2r}{a_o} - 2 \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{r}{a_o} \right)^{-2} r^{-2} e^{\frac{r}{a_o}}}{\left(a_r \int \left(\frac{r}{a_o} \right)^{-4} e^{\frac{r}{a_o}} dr + b_r \right)^2 + 1} dr , \quad (116) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{a_{\varphi}}{(a_{\varphi} \sin(\varphi) + b_{\varphi} \cos(\varphi))^2 + \cos^2(\varphi)} d\varphi = \\ & \pm \frac{a_{\vartheta} \left(\frac{8}{3} \sin^2(\vartheta) - 1 \right)^{-1} \sin^{-3}(\vartheta)}{\left[a_{\vartheta} \left(\frac{\cos(\vartheta)}{\cos(2\vartheta)-1} + \frac{1}{2} \log(|\tan(\frac{\vartheta}{2})|) \right) + b_{\vartheta} \right]^2 + 1} d\vartheta . \quad (117) \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the last equations we plot the 3D quantum spatial trajectories. In Fig. 8, we compare a quantum trajectory integrated from Eqs. (115), (116) and (117) for the following values of the hidden variables $a_r = 3.1$, $b_r = -0.4$, $a_{\vartheta} = 0.6$, $b_{\vartheta} = -0.2$, $a_{\varphi} = 1$ and $b_{\varphi} = 0$ with the corresponding classical trajectory plotted from the classical equations (71), (72) and (73). We remark that the quantum trajectory seems to be, more or less, a deformation of the classical trajectory. To render this Note more obvious, we plot in Fig. 9 the

projection of the two trajectories into YZ and XZ plans. The Fig. 9 shows that the quantum trajectory has a deformed elliptic form.

In Fig. 10, we plot different trajectories for different values of the hidden variables. These trajectories pass from two points constituting spatial nodes corresponding to $r = (4 - 2\sqrt{2})a_o$ and $r = (4 + 2\sqrt{2})a_o$.

6- Conclusion

First, we would like to emphasize that this article is a continuation of the work already presented in Refs. [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7], especially in Ref. [7]. By this work, we want to bring a clarification and a checking of the results presented in Ref. [7]. Indeed, we have introduced in Ref. [7] a generalization to 3D of the deterministic approach of quantum mechanics presented in Refs. [1, 2]. So, a 3D quantum law of motion is established in Ref. [7] for a general form of the potential, and in the present article, we apply this law of motion for the central potential case and in particular to the Hydrogen's atom. We proceeded by three main steps, the first is the establishment of the 3D-QSHJE and the quantum reduced action for the case of a central potential (Sec. 2). Then, in the second step, we established the 3D Quantum law of motion under the central potential (Sec. 3). Finally, in the third step, we plotted the 3D quantum trajectories of the Hydrogen's electron (Sec. 5). Analyzing our results, especially the trajectories obtained in Sec. 5, for different bound states of the electron, we find interesting outcomes.

The first outcome is the fact that for the bound state case, the electron is trapped between two radial positions given by the two roots of the equation

$$E - V(r) - \frac{l(l+1)\hbar^2}{2m_0 r^2} = 0, \quad (118)$$

and, if the electron reach an external position of the trapping zone delimited by the two roots, the electron will be ejected from the atom. This fact is well established in Fig. (11). Indeed, this figure shows that after passing the position $r = 2a_0 = 1.05834 \times 10^{-10}$ m, which is one of the two roots of Eq. (118) for the bound state ($n = 1, l = 0, m_l = 0$) defining the trapping zone, the electron reach in a limited time a position of about 10^{10} m. This result means that, after living the trapping zone the electron will be strongly ejected far from the atom. This is in disagreement with the standard quantum mechanics which suggest that for any position in the space the atomic electron has a non vanishing probability to be there. Our approach shows that the electron is trapped in a given trapping zone, otherwise it will be ejected far from the atom. Note that this result is even valid for all other excited bound states. A consequence of such a result is a partial localization of the electron in the space in the case of the bound states.

The second outcome is the existence of nodes from which all the possible quantum trajectories plotted for the same state pass. In fact, we established the existence of nodes for two kind of trajectories, the radial time trajectories (Figs. (2), (4) and (6)) and the spatial trajectories (Figs. (3), (5), (7) and (10)). The radial time trajectories are similar to the 1D time trajectories. So, in Ref. [2], we established that the nodes exist independently of the nature of the potential. This is also valid for the radial time trajectories and the nodes corresponds to the zeros of the functions $\mathcal{X}^{(nl)}$ and to the points where the radial velocity $\dot{r}$ vanishes. The spatial trajectories, in spite of their complexity,

pass throw points constituting nodes. The number and the positions of these nodes are established from Eq. (118). When the point $r = 0$ is situated into the trapping zone defined by the two roots r_1 and r_2 of Eq. (118), the number of nodes is three and their positions are $r = 0$, $r = r_1$ and $r = r_2$. When the point $r = 0$ is out of the trapping zone, the number of nodes is two and their positions are r_1 and r_2 .

Another important outcome concerns the comparison between the quantum trajectories and the corresponding purely classical trajectory. We noted in Sec. 5, that such comparison can be done only for the states for which $m_l \neq 0$, otherwise the classical conjugate momentum $dM/d\varphi$ with respect to φ takes an imaginary value. This is the reason for what we take such a comparison only for the state for which $n = 2$, $l = 1$ and $m_l = 1$. For this state, we find that either classical and quantum trajectories describe a closed curves in the 3D space which are contained between two radial positions $r = (4 - 2\sqrt{2})a_o$ and $r = (4 + 2\sqrt{2})a_o$. The classical trajectory is an ellipse situated into an inclined plan (Figs. (1) and (8)), while the quantum trajectories have a complex forms and move in all the space. However, for some values of the hidden variables the quantum trajectories goes approximately to the classical trajectory with a an apparent residual deformation (Figs. (8), (9)). This is an obvious result since the quantum equations of motion reduce to the classical's.

To conclude, we stress that our deterministic 3D approach of quantum mechanics introduced in Ref. [7] and the establishment of the 3D quantum trajectories for a central potential (atomic potential) presented in the present article are a generalization of the deterministic 1D approach of quantum mechanics introduced in Refs. [1, 2]. This deterministic approach, in the frame of its validity, will restore an objective reality, and permit a construction of a deterministic theory of quantum gravity. Such a construction will be an important step to start a unification of the fundamental interactions even the gravity.

APPENDIX

The angular behaviour of the Hydrogen's electron

In this appendix, we plot many angular trajectories corresponding to several states of the Hydrogen's electron. (See Figs. (12))

REFERENCES

1. A. Bouda and T. Djama, Phys. Lett. A 285 (2001) 27-33, quant-ph/0103071.
2. A. Bouda and T. Djama, Phys. scripta 66 (2002) 97-104, quant-ph/0108022.
3. T. Djama, "Relativistic Quantum Newton's Law and photon's Trajectories", quant-ph/0111121.
4. T. Djama, "Nodes in the Relativistic Quantum Trajectories and photon's trajectories", quant-ph/0201003.
5. T. Djama, "The Relativistic Quantum Stationary Hamilton Jacobi Equation for Particle with Spin $1/2$ ", quant-ph/0311057.

6. T. Djama, "The Relativistic Quantum Law of motion for a Particle with Spin 1 / 2 ", quant-ph/0311059.
7. T. Djama, " The 3D Quantum Law of motion ", quant-ph/0311082.
8. A. E. Faraggi and M. Matone, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 15, 1869 (2000); hep-th/9809127.
9. C. Cohen-Tannoudji, B. Diu et F. Laloë, *Mécanique quantique* (Hermann, 1977), tome 1.
10. A. Nikiforov et V. Ouvarov, *Fonctions spéciales de la physique mathématique* (Mir, 1983).

Figures

- Fig. 1: Classical 3D trajectory of the Hydrogen's electron. In order to consider the atomic scalars, we have chosen in Eqs (71-73), $E = -13.6/4$ eV, $\alpha = 2\hbar^2$ and $\beta = (\sqrt{3}/2)\hbar^2$. It is an ellipse, and we see clearly that the motion is done in a plan.
- Fig. 2: Quantum radial time trajectories for the Hydrogen's electron in the fundamental state ($E = -13.6$ eV, $n = 1, l = 0, m_l = 0$). For all the curves, we have chosen $r(t = 0) = 2a_o$ ($a_o = 0.52917 \cdot 10^{-10}$ m is the Bohr radius).
- Fig. 3: Quantum 3D spatial trajectories of the Hydrogen's electron in the fundamental state ($E = -13.6$ eV, $n = 1, l = 0, m_l = 0$).
- Fig. 4: Quantum radial time trajectories for the Hydrogen's electron in the first excited state ($E = -13.6/4$ eV, $n = 2, l = 0, m_l = 0$). For all the curves, we have chosen $r(t = 0) = 0$.
- Fig. 5: Quantum 3D spatial trajectories of the Hydrogen's electron in the first excited state ($E = -13.6/4$ eV, $n = 2, l = 0, m_l = 0$).
- Fig. 6: Quantum radial time trajectories for the Hydrogen's electron in the second excited state ($E = -13.6/4$ eV, $n = 2, l = 1, m_l = 0$). For all the curves, we have chosen $r(t = 0) = 0$.
- Fig. 7: Quantum 3D spatial trajectories of the Hydrogen's electron in the second excited state ($E = -13.6/4$ eV, $n = 2, l = 1, m_l = 0$).
- Fig. 8: This figure shows a comparison between the purely 3D classical trajectory to the one of the possible 3D quantum trajectories of the Hydrogen's electron for the state ($E = -13.6/4$ eV, $n = 2, l = 1, m_l = 1$). For the corresponding values of the hidden variables ($a_r = 3.1, b_r = -0.4, a_\vartheta = 0.6, b_\vartheta = -0.2, a_\varphi = 1, b_\varphi = 0$), we see easily from this picture, that the quantum trajectory can be considered as a deformation of the elliptic purely classical 3D trajectory. More comparison is done in Fig. 9, where projections of the two trajectories into YZ and XZ plans are done.

Fig. 9: Projection onto the YZ and XZ plans of the spatial trajectory for the state $(E = -13.6/4 \text{ eV}, n = 2, l = 1, m_l = 1)(a_r = 3.1, b_r = -0.4, a_\vartheta = 0.6, b_\vartheta = -0.2, a_\varphi = 1, b_\varphi = 0)$, and its corresponding purely classical trajectory. The quantum trajectory seems to be a deformation of the classical one.

Fig. 10: Quantum 3D spatial trajectories of the Hydrogen's electron in the third excited state $(E = -13.6/4 \text{ eV}, n = 2, l = 1, m_l = 1)$.

Fig. 11: The ejection of the electron from the atom when it lives in the trapping zone $(0 \leq r \leq 2 a_o)$ in the case of the fundamental bound state $(E = -13.6 \text{ eV}, n = 1, l = 0, m_l = 0)$. We chose for the radial hidden variables the values $a_r = 1.5$ and $b_r = -0.5$.

Fig. 12: The above figures show the relation between ϑ and φ in the electron trajectories for different l and m_l . For many values of the hidden variables, we plot $\vartheta(\varphi)$. (a): $l = 0, m_l = 0$. (b): $l = 1, m_l = 0$ (c): $l = 1, m_l = 1$.

This figure "Fig1.PNG" is available in "PNG" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/quant-ph/0404175v1>

This figure "Fig2.png" is available in "png" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/quant-ph/0404175v1>

This figure "Fig3.PNG" is available in "PNG" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/quant-ph/0404175v1>

This figure "Fig4.PNG" is available in "PNG" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/quant-ph/0404175v1>

This figure "Fig5.PNG" is available in "PNG" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/quant-ph/0404175v1>

This figure "Fig6.PNG" is available in "PNG" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/quant-ph/0404175v1>

This figure "Fig7.PNG" is available in "PNG" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/quant-ph/0404175v1>

This figure "Fig8.PNG" is available in "PNG" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/quant-ph/0404175v1>

This figure "Fig9.PNG" is available in "PNG" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/quant-ph/0404175v1>

This figure "Fig10.PNG" is available in "PNG" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/quant-ph/0404175v1>

This figure "Fig11.PNG" is available in "PNG" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/quant-ph/0404175v1>

This figure "Fig12a.PNG" is available in "PNG" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/quant-ph/0404175v1>

This figure "Fig12b.PNG" is available in "PNG" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/quant-ph/0404175v1>

This figure "Fig12c.PNG" is available in "PNG" format from:

<http://arXiv.org/ps/quant-ph/0404175v1>